UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,231	11/19/2003	Mei Kodama	IS8-046	2214
21567 WELLS ST. JO	7590 04/30/200 OHN P.S.	8	EXAMINER	
601 W. FIRST	AVENUE, SUITE 130		HUYNH, CONG LAC T	
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,231	KODAMA, MEI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cong-Lac Huynh	2178
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divide apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19       This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)       This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)       This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003 is/	awn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. /are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/719,231 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: the application filed on 11/19/03, foreign priority 11/28/02.

2. Claims 1-12 are pending in the case. Claims 1 and 8 are independent claims.

## **Priority**

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding independent claim 1, it seems improper that distinguishing is performed *on* the contents that are inputted since according to figure 1, the inputted data includes the contents and the contents information that are distinguished by the content distinction section.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2178

Also, it is confusing what the claimed limitation means within "that is an attribute information related to the contents contained in the contents" (lines 9-11).

Dependent claims 2-7 are rejected for fully incorporating the deficiencies of their base claim.

Also, it is confusing whether claims 1-7 are method claims or system claims.

Independent claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-7 are directed to an information conversion control *method*. However, most of the claimed limitations, which are the functional sections, seem to be units of a system rather than steps of a process.

Therefore, if these claims remain method claims, the claimed limitations should be amended to recite the steps of a process. Otherwise, it is suggested that claims 1-7 be amended to be system claims with functional sections.

- 6. Regarding claim 2, the phrase "such as" (line 9) renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 7. Regarding independent claim 8, as mentioned in claim 1 above, it seems improper that distinguishing is performed *on the contents that are inputted* since according to figure 1, the inputted data includes the contents and the contents information that are distinguished by the content distinction section.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,231 Page 4

Art Unit: 2178

8. Dependent claims 9-12 are rejected for fully incorporating the deficiencies of their

base claim.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

The prior art of record is listed on PTO 892.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cong-Lac Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-

4125. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (8:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Cong-Lac Huynh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2178 04/24/08